

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

17

Application Number

10/821,098

Filing Date

04/07/2004

First Named Inventor

Dilip Bhavnani

Art Unit

3781

Examiner Name

Weaver, Sue A.

Attorney Docket Number

SS-111

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

Fee Transmittal Form



Fee Attached



Amendment/Reply



After Final



Affidavits/declaration(s)



Extension of Time Request



Express Abandonment Request



Information Disclosure Statement



Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete ApplicationReply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Drawing(s)



Licensing-related Papers



Petition

Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application

Power of Attorney, Revocation



Change of Correspondence Address



Terminal Disclaimer



Request for Refund



CD, Number of CD(s) _____



Landscape Table on CD

Remarks



After Allowance Communication to TC

Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and InterferencesAppeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

Proprietary Information



Status Letter

Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name

THE SONI LAW FIRM

Signature

Printed name

Stephen T. Bang

Date

04/15/2008

Reg. No.

48,926

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Typed or printed name

Lauren P. Coyle

Date

4/16/08

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Docket No.: SS-111

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

App. No.: 10/821,098)	Examiner: Weaver, Sue A.
)	
Title: COLLAPSIBLE UTILITY BAG AND)	
ADVERTISING VEHICLE)	Art Unit: 3727
)	
Inventor: Bhavnani, Dilip)	
)	
Filed: 04/07/2004)	
)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 07/09/2007

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I. Summary of Office Action

On July 9, 2007, the Examiner mailed a non-final office action in relation to the application identified above. The Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for not showing the claimed features of 'a shelf' and 'advertising indicia.' The Examiner further objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) for failing to show the features corresponding to reference numerals **241** and **600** mentioned in the description.

The Examiner rejected Claims 25, 27, 28, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application No. US-2002/0084159 applied by Hamlin (hereinafter

“Hamlin”). The Examiner also rejected Claims 1, 5, 7, and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin in view of US Patent No. 5,749,446 issued to Hsieh (hereinafter “Hsieh”). The Examiner yet rejected Claims 2, 13, 16, 18, 20, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin, Hsieh, and further in view of the Foreign Patent No. GB 2184940 A issued in UK to Jenks (hereinafter “Jenks”). The Examiner further rejected Claims 3, 8, 14, 21, 26, and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin, Hsieh, and Jenks and further in view of US Patent No. 6,244,399 issued to Birkestrand (hereinafter “Birkestrand”). Moreover, the Examiner rejected Claims 6, 12, 17, 24, 31, and 32 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin, Hsieh, and Jenks and further in view of US Patent No. 6,132,059 issued to Leibowitz (“Leibowitz”). Also, the Examiner rejected Claims 11 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin, Hsieh, and Jenks and further in view of US Patent No. 6,129,254 issued to Yu (“Yu”). Lastly, the Examiner rejected Claim 33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamlin, Hsieh, and Jenks and further in view of US Patent Application No. 2004/0026280 applied by Herold (“Herold”).

II. Applicant’s Response

A. Objection to Drawings

Applicant has revised the drawings to show the features at issue, ‘a shelf’ and ‘advertising indicia’ with reference numbers, respectively **241** and **600**, assigned thereto. Applicant respectfully submits that such amendment overcomes the objections under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as well as under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5).